

**Sigma Theta Tau Delta Upsilon Grant Program  
Evaluation Instrument for Submitted Grants**

Title of Project:

Criterion	Maximum Points	Comments	Allocated Points
<p><b><u>Merits of the Project (Background &amp; Justification)</u></b> Does this study address an important problem? Are the project objectives clearly stated? If the aims of the application are achieved, will scientific knowledge or clinical practice be advanced? Is the proposal well written and appropriate?</p>	20		
<p><b><u>Approach</u></b> Are the methods appropriate for achieving the objectives? Is the timeline reasonable with respect to the number of objectives and the difficulty of the methods? Do the methods seek measurable outcomes? Is the sample size, study design &amp; setting appropriate? Is the data analysis adequately described? Is the data analysis appropriate to specific aims and hypotheses or research questions? Are study limitations acknowledged?</p>	30		
<p><b><u>Conceptual/Theoretical Framework &amp; Literature Review</u></b> Does the conceptual/theoretical framework fit the project? Is the literature cited current? Does the literature review support the relationship among variables? For qualitative study, list keywords used for literature search and describe results.</p>	15		
<p><b><u>Evaluation Process</u></b> Are formative and summative evaluation processes that are to be conducted described in the project?</p>	20		
<p><b><u>Budget</u></b> Is the proposed budget appropriate for achieving the objectives? Are there other funding sources involved? Does this research depend on this funding?</p>	15		
<b>Total</b>	100		